

115742 2 (1991) 1053

A1B, IV, 1, 14

תְּמִימָנָה

4

三

TELEGRAMS

卷之三

5

1. On 2 March 1943, [] and the writer (later identified by []) interviewed [] in connection with the [] case. The reason for this visit was that the [] people intended to use [] in an operation in [] and wished to find out whether or not []

1. He had too much knowledge from a radical point of view (anarchia, decadence, technocracy, dreyfus, etc.) from our previous ALLIANCE with us.
2. From a security point of view, could he give too much information to the [redacted] P.R. he would tell directly to them.

2. [REDACTED] expressed the preoccupation of his wife as the ANTIOCHIS Work in 1950 was concerned that [REDACTED] would not have any valuable knowledge of the ANTIOCHIS techniques. He stated that in his opinion [REDACTED] believed he had given a medical treatment and that quantities used of film were of a medical nature and not gave information.

[REDACTED] further stated that he felt that in considerable part [REDACTED] would have total amnesia for much that went on during when ANTHRAZIT treatment was applied. He also stated he would have no knowledge of the chemicals, techniques, personalities, etc., that would be of value to the [REDACTED] in cold case. In connection with the trip in August 1957 [REDACTED] stated he believed [REDACTED] would know that he had been subjected to drugs since his behavior was not normal and [REDACTED] himself is an anti-social, cultured, hard-core agent type. [REDACTED] stated it would not make any difference any since

Was not asked pertinent or intelligent-type questions and certainly would have no knowledge of chemicals given there they were put in coffee, beer, and in his food.

stated he felt, from a medical point of view, there would be no real harm in using [redacted] for another operation and that if [redacted] told out to the [redacted] he could give them no truly valuable information and if he did give them information, the information would do no [redacted] than [redacted] which would give no [redacted] factual details.

5. In connection with the statements made by [redacted] he stated he had been given time for interpretation. He expressed the opinion that [redacted] recognized the fact that he was given chemicals during the [redacted] process but that he would have very little other information and he felt that [redacted] statements were simply designed to serve his own ends and were based on an intelligent guess and not much more.

D
F
C
C
D

6. [redacted] stated that it would be interesting if any other foreign-born persons were visiting; [redacted] to talk with [redacted] and try to find out by subtle questions just what [redacted] learned of the June 1946 work, and what his visitors were on the August experimentations.

C
D, F
D
C

[redacted] A